

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,130	08/31/2000		Al Gilbertson	166030-0007	4107
7:	590	08/13/2003			
Bruce A Kase	-		EXAMINER		
Miller Nash LL 4400 Two Unic	n Square	÷	LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G		
601 Union Street Seattle, WA 98101-2352			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				2877	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 4
		09/653,130	GILBERTSON, AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		L. G. Lauchman	2877	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	-
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	<u>June 2003</u> .		•
2a)☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			ts is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3.4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) 3,4,6-8 is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🗆 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗆 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	•	cation).
aa	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has	been received.	•
Attachment	•	•		
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7	

Application/Control Number: 09/653,130

Art Unit: 2877

## Doubl Pat nting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3,4,6-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of copending Application No. 09/578,058. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claims 3, 4 and 6 claim the same invention as claims 1 and 2 of copending application No. 09/578,058. Claims 7 and 8 are not disclosed in the claims of the application No. 09/578,058. However, it would have been obvious to have a camera lens for a lens as claimed, since it would have made possible to use the apparatus for photographing the gems.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2877

## Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703)308-7722 or 308-7724.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
- b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent. This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0071.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

L. G. Lauchman Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 7/30/03/lgl

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner AU 2877

Frank L